

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/691,452	10/22/2003	Michael J. Kardauskas	SR.US.1	7331
24111 MESMER & I	7590 01/21/200 DELEAULT, PLLC	9	EXAM	INER
1 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVE.			BARTON, JEFFREY THOMAS	
SUITE 125 PORTSMOUT	TH. NH 03801		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1795	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/21/2009	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PHIL@BIZ-PATLAW.COM mailbox@biz-patlaw.com phildecker@mac.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/691,452	KARDAUSKAS E	T AL.	
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Jeffrey T. Barton	1795		
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>			
(1) <u>Jeffrey T. Barton</u> .	(3) Scott Southworth.			
(2) Phillip Decker.	(4)			
Date of Interview: 5 January 2009	Time: <u>11:30 am</u>			
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)			
Part I.				
Rejection(s) discussed:				
Claims discussed:				
Prior art documents discussed:				
Part II.  SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  See Continuation Sheet  Part III.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.				
/Jeffrey T, Barton/ Art Unit 1795 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if a	appropriate)	

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Application No. 10/691,452

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called Mr. Decker to inquire whether a response to the office action of 13 June 2008 had been sent. Mr. Decker indicated that his office had sent no response, but referred the Examiner to Mr. Southworth as a new attorney working on the case. The examiner called Mr Southworth, who did not indicate that any response was sent, and said that Mr. Decker's answer should be relied upon, since he is the attorney of record on the case.